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G. MOROSANU

Parabolic problems associated to integrated circuits with time-dependent sources

We shall be concerned with partial differential systems of the form

\[
\frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( a_k(x) \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial x} \right) + g_k(x, v_k) = 0, \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad t > 0 \quad (k = 1, n),
\]

with boundary value conditions

\[(\gamma_1 v)(t) + G(\gamma_0 v)(t) \ni b(t), \quad t > 0,\]

and initial conditions

\[v(0, x) = v_0(x), \quad x \in (0, 1),\]

where \(v := \text{col}(v_1, \ldots, v_n),\) \((\gamma_0 v)(t) := \text{col}(v_1(t, 0), v_1(t, 1), \ldots, v_n(t, 0), v_n(t, 1)),\)
\[(\gamma_1 v)(t) := \text{col}( - a_1(0) \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}(t, 0), a_1(1) \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}(t, 1), \ldots, - a_n(0) \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial x}(t, 0), a_n(1) \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial x}(t, 1)).\]

Throughout this article we shall admit the following assumptions:

(A.1) \(a_k \in W^{1,\infty}(0, 1)\) and \(a_k(x) > 0\) in \([0, 1]\) \((k = 1, n).\)

(A.2) \(g_k(\cdot, p) \in L_2(0, 1)\) for every \(p \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(g_k(x, \cdot)\) is continuous and nondecreasing for a.e. \(x \in (0, 1)\) \((k = 1, n).\)

(A.3) \(G : D(G) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}\) is a maximal monotone operator (possibly multivalued).

From a physical point of view, problem (S) + (BC) + (IC) is connected with integrated circuit theory. We mention that particular cases of this problem or similar problems were studied in recent years by a Finnish–Romanian group: V. Hara (Jyväskylä), P. Koikkalainen (Jyväskylä), A. Lehtonen (Jyväskylä), C.A. Marinov (Bucharest), G.
Morosanu (Iasi), P. Neittaanmäki (Jyväskylä).

From a theoretical point of view, similar boundary value problems for higher-order parabolic equations were studied by G. Morosanu and D. Petrosanu (see [4, p. 218–245]).

Let us first consider

The case of constant sources: \( b(t) = b_0 \) (a constant vector).

In this case we can replace \( G \) by \( \tilde{G} \) defined by \( \tilde{G}w = Gw - b_0 \), which is also maximal monotone. So we can assume in what follows \( b(t) \equiv 0 \). This situation was investigated in a previous paper [6] (see also [5], [7]). Let us recall that if \( b(t) \equiv 0 \), then our problem can be written as a Cauchy problem for an ordinary differential equation in the space \( X = L_2(0, 1) \times \ldots \times L_2(0, 1) \) (with \( n \) factors) endowed with the usual scalar product

\[
\langle u, v \rangle_X := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle u_k, v_k \rangle_{L_2(0, 1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_0^1 u_k v_k \, dx.
\]

To this purpose let us define the operator \( A : D(A) \subset X \to X \) as follows:

\[
D(A) = \{ v \in X; \, v_k \in H_2(0, 1) \quad (k = 1, n) \quad \text{and} \quad -\gamma_1 \, v \in G(\gamma_0 v) \},
\]

\[
A v := - \text{col} \left( \frac{d}{dx} (a_1(x) \frac{d v_1}{dx}), \ldots, \frac{d}{dx} (a_n(x) \frac{d v_n}{dx}) \right).
\]

Consider also the operator \( B : D(B) \subset X \to X \) defined by

\[
B v := \text{col} \left( g_1(x, v_1), \ldots, g_n(x, v_n) \right),
\]

where \( D(B) \) consists of all \( v \in X \) such that \( Bv \in X \). We know from [6] that \( (A.1) + (A.3) \Rightarrow A \) is maximal monotone in \( X \) and \( D(A) \) is a dense subset of \( X \).

Moreover, \( (A.1) + (A.2) + (A.30) \Rightarrow D(A + B) = D(A) \) and \( A + B \) is also maximal monotone. If, in addition, \( G \) is a subdifferential then \( A + B \) is a subdifferential too.

Now, we can see that problem \((S) + (BC) + (IC) \) (with \( b(t) \equiv 0 \)) can be expressed as a Cauchy problem in \( X \):

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{d v}{d t} + (A + B) v = 0, \quad t > 0, \\
v(0) = v_0.
\end{cases}
\]

We give (without proof) the following existence result:
Theorem 1. Assume that \((A.1), (A.2)\) and \((A.3)\) hold. Then, for every \(v_0 \in X\), problem \((CP)\) has a unique weak solution \(v \in C(R_+; X)\). If \(v_0 \in D(A)\) then \((CP)\) has a unique strong solution \(v \in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; X), \forall T > 0\), with additional properties
\[v_k \in L_\infty(0, T; H_2(0, 1))\]
and
\[v_k, \partial v_k/\partial x \in L_\infty((0, T) \times (0, 1)), \forall T > 0, k = 1, \ldots, n.\]

If, in addition \(G\) is a subdifferential then for every \(v_0 \in X\), \(v\) is strong and
\[\sqrt{t} dv/\partial t \in L_2(0, T; X), \forall T > 0.\]

For the definitions of weak and strong solutions for \((CP)\) (hence for \((S) + (BC) + (IC)\)) we refer the reader e.g. to [4, p.47].

Now, we shall concentrate our attention on

The case of time-dependent sources: \(b(t) \neq \text{const.}\)

We suppose for the time being that \(b(t) = \text{col} (b_1(t), \ldots, b_{2n}(t))\) is sufficiently regular. Following an idea from [3] we make a change of unknown functions
\[v_k = u_k + \tilde{u}_k \quad (k = 1, n),\]
where
\[\tilde{u}_k(t, x) = \alpha_k(t)x^3 + \beta_k(t)x^2 + \delta_k(t)x, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n,\]
with \(\alpha_k, \beta_k, \delta_k\) determined (uniquely) from the system
\[(\gamma_0 \tilde{u})(t) = 0, \quad (\gamma_1 \tilde{u})(t) = b(t).\]

So problem \((S) + (BC) + (IC)\) can be rewritten as
\[\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(a_k(x) \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x}) + g_k(x, u_k + \tilde{u}_k(t, x)) h_k(t, x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad t > 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n,\]
\[(\gamma_1 u)(t) + G(\gamma_0 u)(t) \equiv 0, \quad t > 0.\]

\[u_k(0, x) = u_{k0}(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n,\]
where
\[ h_k(t, x) := -\frac{\partial \bar{u}_k}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (a_k(x)) \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x}(t, x), \]

\[ u_{k0} := v_{k0}(x) - \bar{u}_k(0, x). \]

In other words, we have obtained the following time-dependent Cauchy problem:

\[
\begin{aligned}
& du/\partial t + Au + B(u + \bar{u}(t)) = h(t) \quad \text{in } X, \\
& u(0) = u_0.
\end{aligned}
\]  

(5)

**Theorem 2.** (Existence of Strong Solutions). Assume that (A.1), (A.2) and (A.3) hold. If \( b \in W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n) (T > 0) \), \( v_{0k} \in H_2(0, 1) \) \( (k = 1, n) \) and \( b(0) \in \gamma_1v_0 + \mathcal{C}(\gamma_0v_0) \) then (S) + (BC) + (IC) has a unique strong solution \( v \in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; X) \) with additional properties

\[ v_k \in L_\infty(0, T; H_2(0, 1)) \text{ and } v_k, \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial x} \in L_\infty((0, T) \times (0, 1)), \quad k = 1, n. \]  

(6)

**Sketch of proof.** In a first stage, we assume that \( g_k(x, \cdot) \) are Lipschitz continuous, with Lipschitz constants independent on \( x \), and \( b \in W^{2,\infty}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n) \). We consider the operators \( Q(t), \ t \geq 0 \) defined by \( D(Q(t)) = D(A) \) and \( Q(t)u := Au + B(u + \bar{u}(t)) - h(t). \) Of course, \( Q(t) \) are maximal monotone and there exists \( L > 0 \) such that

\[ \| Q(t)u - Q(s)u \|_X \leq L \| t - s \|, \quad \forall u \in D(A), \ t, s \in [0, T]. \]

Therefore, the family \( \{Q(t)\} \) satisfies Kato's conditions (see [1]). On the other hand, we can easily see that \( u_0 = v_0 - \bar{u}(0, \cdot) \in D(A). \) Hence problem (5) has a strong solution \( u, u \in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; X) \), \( u(t) \in D(A) \) for \( t \in [0, T] \). In fact, as \( \hat{h}(t) := h(t) - B(u(t) + \bar{u}(t)) : [0, T] \to X \) is Lipschitz continuous (hence belongs to \( W^{1,1}(0, T; X) \)), we have that \( u \) is differentiable from the right on \( [0, T) \) and

\[ \frac{d^+ u}{dt}(t) + Au(t) + B(u(t) + \bar{u}(t)) = \hat{h}(t), \quad 0 < t < T, \]

\[ u(0) = u_0. \]

Therefore

\[ \frac{d^+ v}{dt}(t) + Av(t) + Bv(t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t < T \quad \text{in } X, \]

(S)
\[(\gamma_1 v)(t) + G(\gamma_0 v)(t) = b(t), \quad 0 \leq t < T,\]

\[(IC) \quad v(0) = v_0.\]

Now, consider \(g_k\) without Lipschitz condition and replace \(g_k(x, \cdot)\) by the Yosida approximates \(g_{k\lambda}(x, \cdot) (\lambda > 0)\). From the reasoning above, problem (5), with \(g_{k\lambda}\) instead of \(g_k\), has a strong solution \(u_\lambda\), i.e. \(v_\lambda = u_\lambda + \tilde{u}\) verifies the following problem:

\[
\frac{d^+ v_\lambda}{dt} (t) + A v_\lambda(t) + B(\lambda)v_\lambda(t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t < T \text{ in } X, \quad (7)
\]

\[
(\gamma_1 v_\lambda)(t) + G(\gamma_0 v_\lambda)(t) = b(t), \quad 0 \leq t < T, \quad (8)
\]

\[
v_\lambda(0) = v_0. \quad (9)
\]

By a standard computation we get

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^+}{dt} \| v_\lambda(t + h) - v_\lambda(t) \|_X^2 + c_0 \| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [v_\lambda(t + h, \cdot) - v_\lambda(t, \cdot)] \|_X^2
\]

\[
\leq \| b(t + h) - b(t) \|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \| (\gamma_0 v_\lambda)(t + h) - (\gamma_0 v_\lambda)(t) \|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}},
\]

\[(0 \leq t + h < T, \quad c_0 > 0).
\]

Consequently, taking into account the inequality

\[
\| u \|_{C[0,1]} \leq \| u \|_{L_2(0,1)} + \| du/dx \|_{L_2(0,1)}
\]

we obtain

\[
\frac{d^+}{dt} \| v_\lambda(t + h) - v_\lambda(t) \|_X^2 + 2c_0 \| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [v_\lambda(t + h, \cdot) - v_\lambda(t, \cdot)] \|_X^2
\]

\[
\leq C_1 \| v_\lambda(t + h) - v_\lambda(t) \|_X^2 + C_2 \| b(t + h) - b(t) \|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}
\]

\[
+ \varepsilon \| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [v_\lambda(t + h, \cdot) - v_\lambda(t, \cdot)] \|_X^2
\]

with \(C_1, C_2 > 0\) and \(\varepsilon > 0\) small enough.

Hence

\[212\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^+}{dt}(e^{-C_1t} \| v_\lambda(t + h) - v_\lambda(t) \|_X) & + (2c_0 - \epsilon)e^{-C_2t} \| \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[v_\lambda(t + h)
- v_\lambda(t)] \|_X \leq e^{-C_1t} C_2 \| b(t + h) - b(t) \|_2
\end{align*}
\]
\[\| v_\lambda(t + h) \|_X \leq e^{-C_1t} \| v_\lambda(t) \|_X + C_2 \int_0^t e^{-C_1r} \| b'(r) \|_2 dr, \quad 0 \leq t < T.\]  

On the other hand, we can easily see that
\[\sup_{\lambda > 0} \| \frac{d}{dt} v_\lambda(0) \|_X < \infty.\]

From (11) and (12) we get
\[\{ dv_\lambda/ dt; \quad \lambda > 0 \} \text{ is bounded in } L_\infty(0, T; X)\]

and hence
\[\{ v_\lambda; \quad \lambda > 0 \} \text{ is bounded in } L_\infty(0, T; X).\]

Now denote \( g_\lambda := -Av_0 - B(\lambda)v_0 \) and remark that \( \{ g_\lambda; \quad \lambda > 0 \} \) is bounded in \( X \). Multiplying the equation
\[\frac{d}{dt} (v_\lambda - v_0) + Av_\lambda - Av_0 + B(\lambda)v_\lambda - B(\lambda)v_0 = g_\lambda\]

by \( v_\lambda - v_0 \) we can obtain an estimate similar to (10)
\[\frac{d^+}{dt}(e^{-C_3t} \| v_\lambda(t) - v_0 \|_X) + (2c_0 - \epsilon)e^{-C_4t} \| \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[v_\lambda(t, \cdot) - v_0(\cdot)] \|_X \leq \text{const}, \quad 0 \leq t < T.\]  

From (13), (14) and (15) we get
\[
\left\{ \frac{\partial \nu_{k\lambda}}{\partial x} ; \lambda > 0 \right\} \text{ bounded in } L_\infty(0, T; X). \tag{16}
\]

Using (14), (16) and the formula
\[
\nu_{k\lambda}(t, x) = \int_0^1 \left( y \frac{\partial \nu_{k\lambda}}{\partial y}(t, y) + \nu_k(t, y) \right) dy - \int_0^x \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial \nu_{k\lambda}}{\partial y}(t, y) dy,
\]
we can see that
\[
\{ \nu_{k\lambda} ; \lambda > 0 \} \text{ is bounded in } L_\infty((0, T) \times (0, 1)), \quad k = 1, n. \tag{17}
\]

This implies
\[
\{ B(\lambda)\nu_{k\lambda} ; \lambda > 0 \} \text{ is bounded in } L_2(0, T; X). \tag{18}
\]

Now, from the obvious inequality
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \parallel \nu_{\lambda}(t) - \nu_\mu(t) \parallel X^2 \leq -\langle B(\lambda)\nu_{\lambda} - B(\mu)\nu_\mu, \nu_{\lambda} - \nu_\mu \rangle_X,
\]
we deduce that
\[
\parallel \nu_{\lambda}(t) - \nu_\mu(t) \parallel X \leq C_4(\lambda + \mu)^{1/2}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,
\]
and this shows that \( \nu_\lambda \) converges to some \( \nu \) in \( C([0, T]; X) \), as \( \lambda \to 0 \Rightarrow u_\lambda = \nu_\lambda - \tilde{u} \to u = \nu - \tilde{u} \) in \( C([0, T]; X) \). Next, by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, we can prove that \( B(\lambda)\nu_\lambda \to B\nu \), strongly in \( L_2(0, T; X) \). Now we are able to pass to the limit in the equation
\[
d\nu_\lambda/dt + A\nu_\lambda + B(\lambda)\nu_\lambda = 0,
\]
to obtain that \( \nu \) is a strong solution of \( (S) + (BC) + (IC) \).

In the next step, we shall consider that \( b \in W^{1,2} \) (instead of \( b \in W^{2,\infty} \)). Taking \( \nu_0, \tilde{\nu}_0 \) such that \( \nu_0 - \tilde{\nu}(0, \cdot), \tilde{\nu}_0 - \tilde{\nu}(0, \cdot) \in D(A) \) and \( b, \tilde{b} \in W^{2,\infty} \) we can show (after some computations) that the corresponding solutions \( \nu, \tilde{\nu} \) satisfy
\[
\parallel \nu(t) - \tilde{\nu}(t) \parallel X^2 \leq \text{const.} ( \parallel \nu_0 - \tilde{\nu}_0 \parallel X^2 + \int_0^t \parallel b(s) - \tilde{b}(s) \parallel L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 ds), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \tag{19}
\]
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\[ \| v(t + h) - v(t) \|_\mathcal{X}^2 \leq \text{const} (\| v(h) - v_0 \|_\mathcal{X}^2 + \int_0^t \| b(s + h) - b(s) \|_{\mathbb{R}^n} ds), \]
\[ 0 \leq t < t + h \leq T. \] (20)

Now, taking a sequence \( \{b_m\} \subset W^{2,\infty} \) such that \( b_m \to b \) in \( W^{1,2} \) and fixing \( v_0 \) such that \( u_0 = v_0 - \hat{u}(0, t) \in D(A) \) we can see that the corresponding sequence of strong solutions \( v_m \) converges uniformly to some \( v \) which is also a strong solution. The regularity properties (6) follow by standard resonings. Q.E.D.

**Theorem 3.** (Existence of Weak Solutions). If (A.1), (A.2), (A.3) hold, \( v_0 \in X \) and \( b \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n) \), then (S) + (BC) + (IC) has a unique weak solution \( v \in C([0, T]; X) \) with \( v_k \in L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(0, 1)) \) (\( k = 1, n \)).

**Proof.** Let \( \{v_0(j)\}_{j \geq 1} \subset D(A) \) be such that \( v_0(j) \to v_0 \) in \( X \) and let \( \{b(j)\}_{j \geq 1} \subset W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n) \) be such that \( b(j)(0) = 0 \) and \( b(j) \to b \) in \( L^2 \). Then, the corresponding strong solutions \( v(j) \) satisfy

\[
\| v(j)(t) - v(i)(t) \|_\mathcal{X}^2 + \int_0^t \| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [v(j)(s) - v(i)(s)] \|_\mathcal{X}^2 ds \\
\leq \text{Const} \int_0^t \| b(j)(s) - b(i)(s) \|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2 ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,
\]

which leads us to the conclusion. Q.E.D.

**Theorem 4.** (Asymptotic Behaviour of Solutions). If (A.1), (A.2), (A.3) hold, \( G \) is strongly monotone and \( b \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R}^n) \), then \( A + B \) is strongly monotone, hence \((A + B)^{-1} 0\) has one element, say \( p \), and \( v(t) \to p \) in \( X \) as \( t \to \infty \), for every weak solution \( v(t) \).

**Proof.** An easy computation shows that \( A + B \) is indeed strongly monotone. Now for each \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) we define \( b(j) \) by

\[
b(j)(t) = \begin{cases} 
  b(t), & 0 \leq t \leq j, \\
  0, & t > j,
\end{cases}
\]

and denote by \( v(j)(t), \ t \geq 0 \), the solutions corresponding to \( b(j) \) and satisfying
\[ v(j)(0) = v(0). \] Note that
\[
\| v(j)(t) - v(t) \| \leq \text{const} \left( \int_j^\infty \| b(s) \|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}^2 ds \right)^{1/2}.
\] (21)

Since for \( t \geq j \), \( v(j)(t) \) is a solution corresponding to null sources we have \( v(j)(t) \to p \), strongly in \( X \) as \( t \to \infty \). This fact combined with (21) and with the following inequality
\[
\| v(t) - p \| \leq \| v(t) - v(j)(t) \| + \| v(j)(t) - p \|
\]
implies that \( v(t) \to p \), as \( t \to \infty \). Q.E.D.

Remark. For other details and for more general problems we refer the reader to [2] and [5].
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